

1-9. (CANCELED)

10. (CURRENTLY AMENDED) A method for interlinking one or more of regulation and control functions of two or more systems in a motor vehicle, the method comprising the steps of:

defining wherein the one or more of the regulation or control functions and a communications structure of the one or more of the regulation or control functions of the two or more systems are defined by as graphs containing nodes and directed gridlines, such that the nodes of the graphs represent the one or more of the regulation or control functions and directed gridlines represent defined communication paths of the one or more of the regulation or control functions, and:

communicating the one or more of the regulation or control functions and a communications structure of the one or more of the regulation or control functions of the two or more systems between the two or more systems by an electronic control.

11. (PREVIOUSLY PRESENTED) The method according to claim 10, wherein the directed gridlines of the graphs are ordered pairs (X, Y) of the one or more of the regulation or control, which are represented as arrows between the nodes.

12. (PREVIOUSLY PRESENTED) The method according to claim 10, wherein the nodes represent the one or more of the regulation or control functions  $G_i$ ,  $R_i$  and  $S_i$  such that  $G_i$  is at least one function defined for each system parameter  $g_i$  to be controlled, which defines nominal values  $^{soll}y_i$  for  $g_i$ ,  $R_i$  is at least a second function defined for each system parameter  $g_i$  to be controlled, which controls or regulates  $g_i$  by means of nominal value specifications for other functions  $X_1$ ,  $X_2$ ,  $X_3$ , ... and  $S_i$  is a third function defined for each control intervention point  $s_i$ , which organizes interventions of function  $X_1$ ,  $X_2$ ,  $X_3$ , ... on the control intervention point  $s_i$ , only one node being provided for one function.

13. (PREVIOUSLY PRESENTED) The method according to claim 10, wherein for two nodes (X, Y) just one directed gridline (X, Y) is entered in the graph when the function X transmits a nominal operation mode to the function Y, such that when (X, Y) is a directed gridline in the graph, the function Y transmits just one actual operation condition  $^{ist}b_Y$  to the function X.

14. (PREVIOUSLY PRESENTED) The method according to claim 13, wherein the function X additionally transmits to the function Y one or more nominal values  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\chi$ , ... for system or the control parameters a, b, c, ... and the function Y transmits to the function X one or more nominal values  $\lambda$ ,  $\mu$ ,  $\nu$ , ... for system or control parameters l, m, n, ...

15. (PREVIOUSLY PRESENTED) The method according to claim 11, wherein via a directed gridline (X, Y) the function Y transmits to the function X optional limits  $\alpha_{\min}$ ,  $\alpha_{\max}$ ,  $\beta_{\min}$ ,  $\beta_{\max}$ ,  $\chi_{\min}$ ,  $\chi_{\max}$ , ... within which nominal value specifications of the function X for system or control parameters a, b, c, ... can be realized by the function Y.

16. (PREVIOUSLY PRESENTED) The method according to claim 11, wherein when several functions  $X_1$ ,  $X_2$ ,  $X_3$ , ... transmit to the function Y nominal values  $^{sol}w_{X1}$ ,  $^{sol}w_{X2}$ ,  $^{sol}w_{X3}$ , ... for a parameter w, access conflicts are prevented in that, depending on the actual operation mode  $^{ist}b_Y$  of the function Y, the function Y decides which of the nominal values  $^{sol}w_{X1}$ ,  $^{sol}w_{X2}$ ,  $^{sol}w_{X3}$ , ... will be used or how the nominal value for the parameter w will be calculated from  $^{sol}w_{X1}$ ,  $^{sol}w_{X2}$ ,  $^{sol}w_{X3}$ , ..., such that the calculation of the actual operation mode by means of nominal operation modes or actual operation mode is carried out in such manner that a clear selection or calculation of the nominal value for w emerges from the quantity of nominal values  $^{sol}w_{X1}$ ,  $^{sol}w_{X2}$ ,  $^{sol}w_{X3}$ , ...

17. (PREVIOUSLY PRESENTED) The method according to claim 10, wherein the gridlines of the graphs are chosen such that no directed cycle is produced.

18. (PREVIOUSLY PRESENTED) The method according to claim 12, wherein the establishment of the directed gridlines comprises the following steps:

a first table is prepared, in whose first column the functions  $G_i$  and in whose first row the functions  $R_i$  are entered, so that cells  $(G_i, R_i)$  are produced, and when  $G_i$  defines a nominal value for  $g_i$ , this cell  $(G_i, R_i)$  of the table is marked;

a second table is prepared, in whose first row the functions  $S_i$  and in whose first column the functions  $R_i$  are entered, and when the control parameter  $s_i$  influences the system parameter  $g_j$  and the function  $R_i$  uses the function  $S_i$  to control  $g_j$ , the cell  $(R_i, S_i)$  is marked, such that the marked cells of the two tables indicate the directed gridlines of the associated graph.

19. (NEW) A method for mapping a hierarchical control structure defining the communication and application of regulation and control functions in an electronic control of a motor vehicle according to an array comprising a plurality of predefined nodes and directed gridlines between the nodes, the method comprising the steps of:

defining each of the plurality of nodes according to the regulation and control function of a specified motor vehicle operating system;

connecting the directed gridlines as a communications path between specified nodes for transmitting at least one nominal value between the regulation and control functions specified by the connected nodes;

transmitting at least one nominal operation value from a first node to a connected second node and transmitting one actual operation condition from the second node to the first node; and

evaluating the actual operation condition according to the regulation and control function of the first node to determine if the second node is properly implementing the at least one nominal value within an appropriate target specification for the specified motor vehicle operating system.

20. (NEW) The method according to claim 19, further comprising the step of linking the nodes according to the directed gridlines between the nodes for transmitting ordered pairs (X, Y) between the regulation and control functions along the communications paths.

21. (NEW) The method according to claim 19, further comprising the steps of defining the nodes to represent the regulation and control functions according to variables:  $G_i$ ,  $R_i$  and  $S_i$ , wherein  $G_i$  is at least one function defined for each system parameter  $g_i$  to be controlled, which defines nominal values  $^{soil}y_i$  for  $g_i$ ,  $R_i$  is at least a second function defined for each system parameter  $g_i$  to be controlled, which controls or regulates  $g_i$  by means of nominal value specifications for other functions  $X_1$ ,  $X_2$ ,  $X_3$ , ... and  $S_i$  is a third function defined for each control intervention point  $s_i$ , which organizes interventions of function  $X_1$ ,  $X_2$ ,  $X_3$ , ... on the control intervention point  $s_i$ , only one node being provided for one function.

22. (NEW) The method according to claim 19, further comprising the step of entering between two nodes (X, Y) just one directed gridline (X, Y) in the array when the

function X transmits a nominal operation mode to the function Y, such that when (X, Y) is a directed gridline in the array, the function Y transmits just one actual operation condition  $istb_Y$  to the function X.

23. (NEW) The method according to claim 22, further comprising the step of additionally transmitting from the function X to the function Y one or more nominal values  $\alpha, \beta, \chi, \dots$  for system or the control parameters a, b, c, ... and the function Y transmits to the function X one or more nominal values  $\lambda, \mu, \nu, \dots$  for system or control parameters l, m, n, ...

24. (NEW) The method according to claim 19, further comprising the step of transmitting via a directed gridline (X, Y) the function Y to the function X optional limits  $\alpha_{min}, \alpha_{max}, \beta_{min}, \beta_{max}, \chi_{min}, \chi_{max}, \dots$  within which nominal value specifications of the function X for system or control parameters a, b, c, ... can be realized by the function Y.

25. (NEW) The method according to claim 19, further comprising the step of transmitting several functions  $X_1, X_2, X_3, \dots$  to the function Y nominal values  $solw_{X1}, solw_{X2}, solw_{X3}, \dots$  for a parameter w, access conflicts are prevented in that, depending on the actual operation mode  $istb_Y$  of the function Y, the function Y decides which of the nominal values  $solw_{X1}, solw_{X2}, solw_{X3}, \dots$  will be used or how the nominal value for the parameter w will be calculated from  $solw_{X1}, solw_{X2}, solw_{X3}, \dots$ , such that the calculation of the actual operation mode by means of nominal operation modes or actual operation mode is carried out in such manner that a clear selection or calculation of the nominal value for w emerges from the quantity of nominal values  $solw_{X1}, solw_{X2}, solw_{X3}, \dots$

26. (NEW) The method according to claim 19, further comprising the step of choosing the gridlines of the graphs such that no directed cycle is produced.

27. (NEW) The method according to claim 21, further comprising the establishment of the directed gridlines according to the following steps:

preparing a first table in whose first column the functions  $G_i$  and in whose first row the functions  $R_i$  are entered, so that cells  $(G_i, R_i)$  are produced, and when  $G_i$  defines a nominal value for  $g_i$ , this cell  $(G_i, R_i)$  of the table is marked;

preparing a second table in whose first row the functions  $S_i$  and in whose first column the functions  $R_i$  are entered, and when the control parameter  $s_i$  influences

10/795,768

the system parameter  $g_j$  and the function  $R_i$  uses the function  $S_i$  to control  $g_j$  the cell  $(R_i, S_i)$  is marked, such that the marked cells of the two tables indicate the directed gridlines of the associated graph.

[028] Fig. 7 is a schematic diagram illustrating the interlinking relationship between the communicating components according to the invention.

[029] According to the invention, the distribution of the control and/or regulation functions of two or more systems 1, 2 of a motor vehicle and the communications structure of the control and/or regulation functions [[is]] are defined by means of graphs containing nodes and directed gridlines; in this, the nodes of the graphs represent control and/or regulation functions and their directed gridlines represent transmission paths of the control and/or regulation functions. An electronic control 3 communicates the graphs and directed gridlines of the two or more systems 1, 2 between the two or more systems 1,2 to limit the negative reciprocal influence on one another. The systems 1,2 can be one or more of, for example, electronic engine controls, electronic transmission controls, ASR (drive slip regulation), ABS (anti-blocking system) functions, shift strategy controls, the level adjustment, body-vehicle acceleration, roll angle, pitch angle, vehicle-level, and individual wheel slip.